

Appendix two – Impact Scoring Matrix – from Rotherham Scrutiny Development Area

Impact considerations	Topic 1 (obesity)	Topic 2 (Mental health & Alcohol use)	Topic 3 (Drug use in young people)
<p>How high a priority is the topic within the JSNA?</p> <p>High, medium or low</p>	<p>High – obesity as a whole features strongly as an issue</p>	<p>High - For mental health broadly</p> <p>Alcohol specifically – not featured (but this could be a gap)</p>	<p>Low - This topic does not figure highly in the JSNA (which may indicate a gap in the JSNA)</p>
<p>How available are measures and info?</p> <p>Very, Reasonably or Scarcely</p>	<p>Very – lots of work already in relation to obesity issues and specific interventions</p>	<p>Scarcely for alcohol specific issues linked to mental health – would need more work to establish what is available</p>	<p>Scarcely - reasonably for some data and measures</p> <p>Very - available for NEETS info and data</p>
<p>How much influence is the scrutiny review likely to have?</p> <p>High, medium or low</p>	<p>High – although lots of interventions and work already going on, there is nothing focusing on those with a BMI 50+</p>	<p>Low – due to the issues, complexities and nature of this type of review</p>	<p>Medium – although an important issue, not sure of the impact which would be made</p>
<p>Overall, what is the likely value of the review?</p> <p>High, medium or low</p>	<p>High</p>	<p>High - If a larger review could be done</p> <p>Low In this instance</p>	<p>Low - Potentially too broad an issue to add real value</p>